

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/666,096	09/18/2003	Arun Prasad	JPP-1253CIP-2	8544
7590 06/15/2006			EXAMINER	
PENTRON CORPORATION			JENKINS, DANIEL J	
	AINS INDUSTRIAL F RD, CT 06492	OAD	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,			1742	
			DATE MAIL ED: 06/15/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

					L			
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	-			
Office Action Comments		10/666,096	PRASAD E	T AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
		Daniel J. Jenkins	1742					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover s	heet with the corresponde	nce address				
WHIC - External after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period vere to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COM 36(a). In no event, howeve will apply and will expire SIX , cause the application to be	MUNICATION. T, may a reply be timely filed (6) MONTHS from the mailing date COME ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. 6	of this communication.				
Status								
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>27 M</u>	arch 2006.						
	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.							
3)	·							
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 19	35 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213	6.				
Dispositi	on of Claims							
4)🖂	Claim(s) 1-139 is/are pending in the application	า.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) 20,40,60,80 and 120 is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-19,21-39,41-59,61-79,81-99,101-119 and 121-139</u> is/are rejected.							
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)[_]	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requireme	ent.	•				
Applicati	on Papers							
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examine	r. ·						
10)[The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acce	epted or b)□ objec	ted to by the Examiner.					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	• ,	•	- ()-				
44)[7]	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct			* *				
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the at	tached Office Action or fo	orm PTO-152.				
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
_	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign ☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:							
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents							
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents							
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior			itional Stage				
* 5	application from the International Bureau see the attached detailed Office action for a list of	•	•					
	nee the attached detailed office action for a list of	or the certified copi	es not received.					
Attachment	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892)	л п						
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		erview Summary (PTO-413) per No(s)/Mail Date					
3) 🔯 Inforn	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date <u>9/18/03</u> .	5) 🔲 No	tice of Informal Patent Applications:	on (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 1742

2 .--

1. The Examiner has carefully considered Applicant's traversal of the Restriction requirement. The Examiner does not find Applicant's traversal persuasive. In particular, the dependency of the article claims is not relevant to the basis for the restriction, that being that the article could be formed by a patentably distinct process. The Restriction is made final.

2. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

1. Claims 1-19, 21-39, 41-59, 61-79, 81-99, 101-119 and 121-139 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-22, 25-43, 48-56, 58-85, 87-157 of U.S. Patent No. 6,613,273 and claims 1-32 and 34-46 of U.S. Patent No. 6,667,112, . Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the covering material in both disclosures include a teaching of fibers.

Art Unit: 1742

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Daniel J. Jenkins whose telephone number is 571-272-1242. The examiner can normally be reached on M-TH6:30AM-5:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Roy King can be reached on 571-272-1242. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Daniel J. Jenkins / Primary Examiner Art Unit 1742